ADAPTIVE MESHING TO ENHANCE SEDIMENT PLUME SIMULATIONS Mohammad Madani¹, Derek Eden¹, Tom Foster², Josh van Berkel² and Michael Clark³

1. DHI Water & Environment, Inc., PO Box 32120 Preston, Cambridge, ON N3H 5M2, Canada

2. DHI Water and Environment, Inc., 141 Union Blvd. Suite 320, Lakewood, CO, 80228, USA

3. The Metals Company, 595 Howe Street, Vancouver, BC V6C 2T5, Canada



Agenda

- Introduction / Problem Statement Adaptive Meshing
- Deep Sea Mining (Example Project)
 - Resources
 - Example Project
 - Location and Collection Technique (Collector / Dredging)
 - Receptors and Sediment Plume Management
- Sediment Plume Modelling with Adaptive Meshing
 - Methodology
 - Example Project Adaptive Meshing
 - Example Project Adaptive Meshing Results and Performance Comparison
- Conclusion



Introduction – Problem Statement



- Dredge plumes at the near-field/far-field boundary are typically confined laterally based on hydrodynamic conditions
- Adequate resolution of the plume (both laterally and vertically) is crucial for accurately simulating transport, dispersion, and settling
- A fine model mesh, approximately 10 to 20m, is required for this purpose
- A fine mesh over the operating area is computationally manageable for stationary sources



Introduction – Problem Statement

- Fine mesh can be generated over a large area, such as the use of a nominal 25m mesh for major dredging and reclamation projects in Singapore
- Computational demands of a large high-resolution mesh pose challenges for turn around time in using the model for environmental management decisions
- The adequacy of 25m mesh resolution in resolving the nearfield/far-field boundary remains a question



For a moving source e.g., a trailing suction hopper dredger (TSHD) operating over a larger borrow area, the computational challenge becomes more acute as a larger area must be simulated in high resolution



Introduction – Problem Statement

- The resolution vs. computational efficiency problem has recently reached a critical point as sediment plume models are applied to the new frontier of deep-sea mining of polymetallic nodules
- For deep sea mining, the work areas are much larger, up to 10,000 km² and the project does not last 5 years, but 20 years, with the added complication of operating in a complex 3D environment at 4000m depth or greater
- It is clear that a brute force approach of a high-resolution mesh over the entire work area is not feasible. To address this problem a new approach using an adaptive mesh that follows the sediment spill source has been developed





- Mn, Cu, Ni, Co, Au, Ag, Zn, Li, Mo, REEs
- Battery Metals





Polymetallic Sulphide Crusts

Polymetallic Nodules

Cobalt Rich Crusts



Exploration and Extraction is Governed by the International Seabed Authority

BGR (Germany) BMJ (Jamaica) CIIC (Cook Islands) CMC (China) COMRA (China) DORD (Japan) GSR (Belgium) Government of Korea Ifremer (France) IOM (Bulgaria, Czech Republic, Poland, Russian Federation, Slovakia) Marawa (Kiribati) NORI (Nauru) OMS (Singapore) TOML (Tonga) UKSRL (UK) Yuzhmorgeologiya (Russian Federation)





The development of the adaptive mesh approach has been carried out to support the environmental impact assessment of the NORI-D concession



NORI-D

Deep Sea Mining – An attempt to convey the scale











Environmental Receptors



While abundance is low, the deep-water ecosystem is rich in diversity.

As little is known of the tolerance of these organisms to sediment plumes a precautionary approach is being taken with a target of not more than 10% of background sedimentation and suspended sediment concentration outside direct footprint impact area. Robust sediment plume models are essential to ensuring that this target is met.



Adaptive Mesh Modelling Strategy

As the spill source track is known in advance (either as part of the mine plan (forecast for the EIA) or from measured positions (Hindcast for adaptive management) a number of high resolution meshes can be prepared (with overlap) covering the entire spill source path. The model can then step though these pre-defined meshes as the spill source progresses through the domain over the simulation.

This limits the area requiring high mesh resolution and for any given computational effort will always produce a finer mesh size in the critical near-field / far-field boundary area than a traditional uniform mesh over the entire area of operation.





Deep Sea Mining – Adaptive Mesh Generation

Gmsh: a threedimensional finite element mesh generator with built-in pre- and post-processing facilities has been utilized to produce efficient and stable meshes

https://gmsh.info/





Gmsh → MIKE3 Mesh

Mesh resolution control points in Gmsh 12.0 \bigcirc \bigcirc 114- \bigcirc 11.2 Conversion to \bigcirc \bigcirc 10.8 MIKE 10.6 O 10.4 10.2 10.0 9.8 9.6 -118.5 -118.0 -117.5 -117.0 -116.5 -116.0

One Instance of the high-resolution mesh



Collector Vehicle (spill source) Track

For test divided into 3 high resolution areas For production run, will be divided to 100s of areas



-115.5 Ideal

Total Instantaneous Suspended Sediment Concentration Results Vertical profile from (-117,11) to (-117,11)



Suspended Sediment Concentration 5m from Seabed Mesh Comparison



Brute Force Adaptive mesh resolution across the entire spill source area Adaptive Mesh Offers the accuracy of brute force, with the computational requirement of traditional

Traditional Mesh size fixed to provide the same computational time as the adaptive mesh



Model Results Comparison for 3 Different Mesh Strategies



- Mesh size has a relatively small effect on total area of influence (>10% background) or when spatially averaged
- Traditional approach underestimates variability in plume concentration at specific points
- Underprediction of variability by the traditional approach can be significant in identifying and quantifying potential plume impacts on habitat suitability





Conclusion

- A high-resolution, adaptive mesh technique for following moving spill sources was developed in MIKE
- Applicable to deep sea mining sediment plume applications
- Applicable to all dredging sediment plume applications with moving sources
- Adaptive mesh approach generates finer mesh in nearfield/far-field boundary area
- Traditional approach results in a coarser mesh for the same computational requirement
- Adaptive mesh approach predicts plume variability similar to the brute force approach, but with greater computational efficiency
- Critical for determining / managing habitat related impacts (e.g., exceedance of tolerance limits)

Thank You, Any Questions?



Sunrise and Sunset on the Clarion Clipperton Zone

